United Nations A/CN.9/949

General Assembly

Distr.: General
27 March 2018

Original: English

**United Nations Commission on International Trade Law**
**Fifty-first session**
New York, 25 June–13 July 2018

# Bibliography of recent writings related to the work of UNCITRAL*

**Note by the Secretariat**

## Contents


| | | Page |
|---|---|---|
| I. | General | 2 |
| II. | International sale of goods | 2 |
| III. | International commercial arbitration and conciliation | 9 |
| IV. | International transport | 18 |
| V. | International payments (including independent guarantees and standby letters of credit) | 19 |
| VI. | Electronic commerce | 19 |
| VII. | Security interests (including receivables financing) | 22 |
| VIII. | Procurement | 24 |
| IX. | Insolvency | 25 |
| X. | International construction contracts | 27 |
| XI. | International countertrade | 28 |
| XII. | Privately financed infrastructure projects | 28 |
| XIII. | Online dispute resolution | 28 |
| XIV. | Micro, small and medium-sized enterprises | 28 |
| XV. | Investor-State dispute settlement | 28 |


* Current and consolidated bibliographies with detailed annotations are available online at www.uncitral.org/uncitral/publications/bibliography.html.

V.18-01904 (E) 090418 100418

Please recycle

# I. General

Belhadj, M. and C. Montineri. UNCITRAL at 50 = 50 anos de UNCITRAL. *Revista de arbitragem e mediação* (São Paulo) 13:50:569–580, 2016.

Block-Lieb, S. *and* T.C. Halliday. Global lawmakers: international organizations in the crafting of world markets. Cambridge, U.K., Cambridge University Press, 2017. 456 p.

Buglea, C.-P. and A. Zăbrăuţanu. Instituţii ale globalizării în comerţul internaţional. *Revista română de drept al afacerilor* (Romania) 4:85–101, 2016. Translation of title: Institutions of globalization in international trade.

Glitz, F.E.Z. Lex mercatoria: ¿orden jurídico autónomo? *Revista de la Secretaría del Tribunal Permanente de Revisión* (Asunción) 5:9:196–223, 2017.

Harrington, R. News from *the* United Nations Commission on International Trade Law (UNCITRAL): the work of the fiftieth Commission session. *Uniform law review = Revue de droit uniforme* (Oxford, U.K.) 22:4:996–1009, 2017.

Interview: João Ribeiro. *Korean arbitration review* (Seoul) 5:71–76, 2015.

Karasiński, K.D. 50 lat Komisji Narodów Zjednoczonych ds. Międzynarodowego Prawa Handlowego (UNCITRAL). *Palestra* (Warszawa) 9:133–135, 2017. Translation of title: 50 years of UNCITRAL.

Lee, J.S. Making it easier to enforce contracts in the Asia-Pacific region: recommendations and challenges. *Advanced commercial law review* (Seoul) 77:331–389, 2017.

Mekong, M.L. Le CNUDCI à 50 ans. *Justitia* (Cameroon) 010:17–19, décembre 2017. At the occasion of a colloquium « La Commission des Nations Unies pour le Droit Commercial International à 50 ans et l'arbitrage en Afrique», 24 mai 2017, Cameroun.

Polsup, Y. [et al.] การผ่อนคลายความเคร่งครัดแบบของสัญญา. *Governance journal* (Thailand) 6:1:379–400, [*2017*]. Translation of title: Lessening the rigidity of the form contracts.

Šafranko, Z. Tribute to UNCITRAL: the progressive development of the law of international trade - fifty years *later*. *InterEU law east* (Zagreb) 3:127–129, 2016.

Voulgaris, I. The contribution of the *United* Nations to international trade and the law that governs international trade. *Journal of Commercial Law* (Athens) 1:204–213, 2017. In Greek.

# II. International sale of goods

Abadi, M.H. and A.A. *Kalkoshki*. Delivery of goods in international sales. *Journal of politics and law* (Toronto) 10:4:100–107, 2017.

Achá Lemaitre, D. Los principios de interpretación de la Convención de la Naciones Unidas sobre los Contratos de Compraventa Internacional de Mercaderías. *Foro: Revista de derecho* (Quito) 9:155–173, 2008.

Ahmadi, J.S.

مطالعه تطبیقی شرط مکتوب بودن ایراز اراده .

*Comparative law researches* (Iran) 21:2:83–108, 2017. In Farsi. Translation of title: Comparative study of written *modifications* clause.

Ahmed, B.A. and H.M. Hussein. Avoidance of contract as a remedy under CISG and SGA: *comparative* analysis. *Journal of law, policy and globalization* 61:126–142, 2017.

Asghari Aghmashhdi, F. and H. Kaviar.
رجوع از ایجاب در کنوانسیون بیع بین‌المللی کالا (1980 وین).
*International law review* (Iran (Islamic Republic of)) 33:55:233–268, 2017. In Farsi. Translation of title: Revocation of offer in the CISG (1980).

Atanasovska, D. L'applicabilità della Convenzione di Vienna sulla vendita internazionale di *beni* alle transazioni aventi ad oggetto software: vendita o licenza? *Ricerche giuridiche* (Venezia) 5:2:333–345, 2016. Translation of title: Applicability of the CISG (1980) to transactions involving software: sale or license?

Ayhan, H.L. Birleşmiş milletler viyana satım sözleşmesi'ne göre uluslararasi satım sözleşmelerinde *satıcının* ayiba karşı tekeffül borcu. *Gazi Universitesi Hukuk Fakültesi Dergisi* (Ankara) 15:2:1–57, 2011. In Turkish with English abstract. Translation of title: Vendor's warranty for defects in international sales contracts according to the CISG (1980).

Barrington, L. The Vis East Moot at fifteen years: looking back and looking forward. *Asian dispute review* (Hong Kong) 20–23, January 2018.

Basazinew, A.E. The buyer's *remedy* under CISG and Ethiopian sales law: a comparative legal study. *International journal of research and scientific innovation* 4:2:7–11, 2017.

Beneti, A.C. First CISG decision in Brazil: Brazilian courts take the first steps towards application of the CISG. *Internationales Handelsrecht* (Köln, Germany) 18:1:8–11, 2018.

Berlingher, D. The effects of the international contract for sale of goods. *Journal of legal studies* (Warsaw) 19:33:96–109, 2017.

Błaszczyk, C. Wpływ Konwencji Narodów Zjednoczonych o Umowach Międzynarodowej *sprzedaży* towarów na prawo Unii Europejskiej w zakresie sprzedaży konsumenckiej. *Studia prawnicze* (Warszawa) 3:29–48, 2014. Translation of title: The impact of the CISG (1980) on the consumer sales law of the European Union.

Boskovic, O. Conflits de lois: loi applicable à la presciption de l'action de l'acheteur dans la vente internationale de marchandises. *Revue critique de droit international privé* (Paris) 3:404–408, 2017.

Bourdeaux, G. *and* others. Droit du commerce international. *Semaine juridique* (Paris) 9:391–397, 2017.

Brand, J. Keine Angst vor dem UN-Kaufrecht!: ein Plädoyer für den Praxiseinsatz einer *hervorragenden* Gestaltungsmöglichkeit internationaler Liefer-beziehungen. *Deutscher Anwaltspiegel* 11, 2017. Translation of title: Don't be afraid of the CISG!

Buckingham, A. Considering cultural communities in contract interpretation. *Drexel law review* (Philadelphia, Pa.) 9:129–1602, 2016.

Bugorsky, V. Контракт с *иностранным* партнером по новым правилам. *Cyberlinka*, 2016.

Cabello Contreras, J.L. El incumplimiento resolutorio previsible o anticipado. *Diario la ley* (*Spain*) 9050, 27 de Septiembre de 2017.

Carle, G.C. La *transmisión* del riesgo en el contrato de compraventa internacional y la Convención de Viena: breves apuntes. *Diario del exportador* October, 2017.

Castellani, L. Promoting uniform law in countries influenced by Islamic law: the example of the United Nations Convention on Contracts for the International Sale of Goods. *Protection project journal of human rights and civil society* 7:4:19–30, 2015.

Castro Pinzón, A., ed. *360°* de la compraventa internacional de mercaderías: memorias del II Congreso Iberoamericano de Derecho Internacional de los Negocios. Bogotá, Universidad Externado de Colombia, 2016. 376 p.

Chapter three special observation on international commercial arbitration in China: the application of the CISG in international commercial arbitration in China. *Annual report on international commercial arbitration in China* (Beijing) 67–109, 2015.

Chinese International Economic and Trade Arbitration Commission (CIETAC), ed. The application of the *CISG* in international commercial arbitration in China. Beijing, CIETAC, 2015. 40 p.

Coetzee, J. A pluralist approach to the law of international sales. *Potchefstroom electronic law journal* 20:1–33, 2017.

Cserép, V.E. E *pluribus* unum: out of many, one common European sales law? *Pace international law review* (White Plains, N.Y.) 29:1:135–173, 2017.

Dalhuisen, J. Dalhuisen on transnational comparative, commercial, financial and trade law, Volume 2: contract and movable property law. 6th ed. Portland, Or., Hart Publishing, 2016. 756 p.

De Elizalde, F. Should the implied term concerning quality be generalized?: present and future of the *principle* of conformity in Europe. *European review of private law = Revue européenne de droit privé = Europäische Zeitschrift für Privatrecht* (Alphen aan den Rijn, The Netherlands) 25:1:71–107, 2017.

Debuchy Boselli, P.A. La Convención de Viena sobre Contratos de Compraventa Internacional de Mercaderías en el contexto del MERCOSUR: ¿herramienta para una mayor integración? *Revista de la Secretaría del Tribunal Permanente de Revisión* (Asunción) 5:9:259–279, 2017.

Djigsa, W.K. Withdrawal and revocation of offer and acceptance: a comparative study of the CISG, the *Chinese* Contract Law, the UNIDROIT Principles and the Ethiopian Contract Law. *Social science research network* August 8, 2016.

Editorial. La adhesión de Costa Rica a la Convención de las Naciones Unidas sobre los Contratos de Compraventa Internacional de Mercaderías: un retador paso hacia el desarrollo del comercio internacional costarricense. *Revista costarricense de derecho internacional* (San José) 6:6–16, 2017.

Eggen, M. Digitale Inhalte unter dem CISG. *Internationales Handelsrecht* (Köln, Germany) 17:6:229–237, 2017. Translation of title: Digital contents under the CISG (1980).

Fandl, K.J. Cross-border commercial contracts and consideration. *Berkeley journal of international law* (*Berkeley*, Calif.) 34:2:1–54, 2016.

Fernández Arroyo, D.P. The curious case of an arbitration with two annulment courts: comments on the YPF saga. *Arbitration international* (Oxford, U.K.) 33:2:317–344, 2017.

Ferrari, F. and others. The inappropriate use of the PICC to interpret hardship claims under the CISG. *Internationales Handelsrecht* (Köln, Germany) 17:3:97–102, 2017.

Flint Blanck, P. La *compraventa* internacional. *Equipo de derecho mercantil* (Lima) 4:151–175, 2016.

Focus: United Nations *Convention* on Contracts for the International Sale of Goods (CISG), UNIDROIT Principles of International Commercial Contracts: contrast and convergence [Conference proceedings, 20 May 2016, Rome]. *Uniform law review = Revue de droit uniforme* (Oxford, U.K.) 22:1, 2017.

Fontalvo Ramos, R. La *incorporación* de las comunicaciones electrónicas en los contratos de compraventa internacional de mercaderías = the incorporation of

electronic communications in contracts of international sale of goods. *Ars iuris Salmanticensis* (Salamanca, Spain) 4:103–121, 2016.

Gillette, C.P. and S.D. Walt. The UN Convention on Contracts for the International sale of Goods: theory and practice. 2nd ed. New York, N.Y., Cambridge University Press, 2016. 451 p.

Giovannini, T. *L'amputation* du contrat par l'arbitre. *Revue de l'arbitrage* (Paris) 1:39–50, 2017.

Gloglo, F. La protection de l'acheteur contre la vente internationale de marchandises contrefaites. *Revue québécoise de droit international* (Montréal) 26:1:63–81, 2013.

Grundmann, S. and M.-S. *Schäfer*. The French and the German reforms of contract law. *European review of contract law* (Berlin) 13:4:459–490, 2017.

Gül, I. Freedom of contract, *party* autonomy and its limit under CISG. *Hacettepe Hukuk Fakültesi Dergisi* (Ankara) 6:1:77–102, 2016.

Ha, C.-L. 국제물품매매계약에서의 서면요건에 대한 고찰: 미국계약법과 CISG 비교를 중심으로. *International commerce and information review* (Seoul) 14:4:203–225, 2012. Translation of *title*: The writing requirement of contracts for international sales of goods: the CISG (1980) and the US laws.

Hachem, P. Verjährungs- und Verwirkungsfragen bei CISG-Verträgen. *Internationales Handelsrecht* (Köln, *Germany*) 17:1:1–17, 2017. Translation of title: Limitation and forfeiture issues under CISG contracts.

Himeno, G. Right to *cure* under the UNIDROIT Principles Article 7.1.4: a historical analysis. *Jus gentium: journal of international legal history* (Hempstead, N.Y.) 1:2:427–572, 2016.

Hossam, S.A.
جزاء إخلال البائع بالتسليم في عقد بيع البضائع في ضوء اتفاقية فيينا عام *1980* دراسة مقارنة .
Assiut, Egypt, Assiut University, 2016. 592 p. Thesis (PhD) — Assiut University Faculty of Law (2016). Translation of title: Remedies for breach of contract by the seller in delivery *process* at the international sale of goods under the CISG (1980) (comparative study).

Huber, P. UN-Kaufrecht: Bewährtes zu den Leistungsstörungen und Neues zur Aufrechnung. *IPRax* (*Bielefeld*, Germany) 37:3:268–272, 2017. Translation of title: CISG (1980): proven issues on non-conforming delivery and new issues on set-off.

Jingen, W. and L.A. *DiMatteo*. Chinese reception and transplantation of Western contract law. *Berkeley journal of international law* (Berkeley, Calif.) 34:1:44–99, 2016.

Johnson, V.R. Legal malpractice in international business transactions. *Hofstra law review* (*Hempstead*, N.Y.) 44:325–352, 2015.

Kagisye, E. La cohabitation entre la CVIM et l'Acte uniforme relatif au droit commercial *général*: un conflit de droit matériel. *HAL archives-ouvertes* 27 March 2017.

Kampf, A., ed. UN-Kaufrecht in Deutschland: 25 Jahre Relevanz für den *Warenexport*. Bonn, Germany, Germany Trade and Invest, 2017. 35 p. Translation of title: UN sales law in Germany: 25 years of relevance for the export of goods.

Karaahmetoğlu, S.D. Interpretation of the United Nations Convention on Contracts for the *International* Sale of Goods. *Law & justice review* (Ankara) 8:14:105–118, 2017.

Karademir, E. Viyana Satım Antlaşması'nda boşluk doldurma: kısaltma: CISG. *Izmir Barosu dergisi* (Izmir) 80:2:231–255, 2015. In Turkish with English abstract. Translation of title: Gap-filling in Vienna Sales Convention (abbreviation: CISG (1980)).

Koike, M. 国際取引法研究の最前線㊽ CISG（ウィーン売買条約）の適用除外. *Kokusai shōji hōmu* (Tokyo) 44:8:1225–1228, 2016. Translation of title: The exclusion of the application of the CISG (1980).

Kröll, S. and others. Cost and burden of proof under the CISG: a discussion amongst experts. *International trade and business law review* (Perth) 20:176–210, 2017.

Kulesza, G. A influência branda da Convenção das Nações Unidas sobre Contratos de Compra e *Venda* Internacional de Mercadorias sobre o judiciário brasileiro. *Comitê brasileiro de arbitragem (CBAr) blog* 22 May 2017.

Kuster, D. and C.B. Andersen. Hardly room for hardship: a functional review of Article 79 of the CISG. *Journal of law and commerce* (Pittsburgh, Pa.) 35:1:1–20, 2016.

Leal Barros, P. El derecho de retención en la Convención de Viena sobre compraventa internacional de mercaderías. *Revista de derecho privado* (Bogotá) 33:161–192, 2017.

Legros, C. The CISG Advisory Council: a model to improve uniform application of the CMR? *European journal of commercial contract law* (Zutphen, The Netherlands) 9:1/2:27–30, 2017.

Liu, Q. and X. Ren. CISG in Chinese courts: the issue of applicability. *American journal of comparative law* (Washington, D.C.) 65:4:873–918, 2017.

Lookofsky, J.M. Understanding the CISG: a compact guide to the 1980 United Nations Convention on Contracts for the International Sale of Goods. 5th ed. Alphen aan den Rijn, The Netherlands, Kluwer Law International, per ce2017. 263p.

Magnus, U. CISG and set-off. *Hamburg law review* (Hamburg) 1:78–91, 2016.

Mankowski, P. Article 3 of the Hague Principles: the final breakthrough for the choice of non-State law? *Uniform law review = Revue de droit uniforme* (Oxford, U.K.) 22:2:369–394, 2017.

Marxen, K. The cycle of *harmonisation*: from domestic laws to the CISG and back? *South African law journal* (Kenwyn, South Africa) 132:547–565, 2015.

Meira Moser, L.G. Inside contracting parties' minds: the decision-making processes in cross-border sales. *Journal of international dispute settlement* (Oxford, U.K.) 8:2:250–279, 2017.

Mexico. Secretaría de Economía, ed. Contratos internacionales: guía básica para la correcta elaboración y *adecuada* celebración de un contrato de compraventa internacional de mercancías. s.l., Pro México, 2017. 34 p.

Moreteau, O. Les remèdes à l'inexécution du contrat: approche théorique et pratique de l'exécution forcée en droit cu *commerce* international = Remedies for breach of contract: a theoretical and practical approach to specific performance in international commercial law. *Revue de droit des affaires internationales = International business law journal* (Paris) 6:639–649, 2017.

Muñoz, E. Remedies for breach of a buyer's obligation to open a letter of credit in CISG contracts: *Banking law journal* (Washington, D.C.). Part I. 134:3:130–137, 2017, part II. 134:5:282–299, 2017.

Muñoz, E. and D.O. Ament-Guemez. Calculation of damages on the basis of the breaching party's profits under the CISG. *George Mason journal of international commercial law* (Arlington, Va.) 8:2: 201–219, 2017.

Öner, Ö. Viyana Satım Sözleşmesi'nin uygulanma alanı, yorumlanması ve bağlayıcılığı. *TAAD* (Turkey) 8:31:801–837, 2017. Translation of title: Scope of application, interpretation *and* binding of the CISG (1980).

Osmanović, K. Bitna povreda ugovora s aspekta kupca prema Konvenciji o Međunarodnoj Prodaji *Robe*. *Pravna misao* (Sarajevo) 48:1–2:63–95, 2017. In Bosnian with English summary. Translation of title: Fundamental breach of contract under the CISG (1980) from buyer's position.

Othman, A.

تفسير عقود التجارة الدولية وفقا للقواعد الدولية .

*Revue de l'Université Kasdi Merbah Ouargla* (Algeria) 15:659–666, 2016. Translation of title: Interpretation of international trade contracts in accordance with international rules.

Oviedo Albán, J. Los principios generales en la Convención de Naciones Unidas sobre compraventa internacional de *mercaderías* = the general principles of the United Nations Convention for the International Sale of Goods. *Boletín Mexicano de derecho comparado* (México) 47:141:987–1020, 2014.

_____. Usages and *practices* in contracts for the international sale of goods. *Universitas* (Bogotá) 135:255–282, 2017.

París Cruz, M. La importancia de la interpretación uniforme de la CISG. *Revista costarricense de derecho internacional* (San José) 6:75–90, 2017.

Pereira de Andrade, P. La *contribution* de la Convention des Nations Unies sur les Contrats de Vente Internationale des Marchandises (CVIM) pour l'application des « clauses de durabilité » des biocarburants. Paris, Université Panthéon-Sorbonne, 2014. 49 p. Thesis (PhD).

Petrovic, J. and others. The exclusion of the validity of the contract from the CISG: does it still *matter*? *Journal of business law* (London) 2:101–120, 2017.

Pignatta, F.A. Les moyens de sauver le contrat et le hardship dans la Convention de Vienne: une *approche* avec les droits d'Amérique Latine. *Revue internationale de droit comparé* (Paris) 69:4:807–820, 2017.

Pohl, M. CISG and the *freedom* of form principle vs. reservations under Article 96 of the CISG. *Transformacje prawa prywatnego* (Kraków, Poland) 2:31–46, 2015.

Polsup, Y. [et al.] การผ่อนคลายความเคร่งครัดแบบของสัญญา. *Governance journal* (Thailand) 6:1:379–400, [2017]. Translation of title: Lessening the rigidity of the form contracts.

Pusztahelyi, R. The time *limits* for enforcing claims and exercising rights: preclusive terms and periods of limitation in the light of the proposal of the new Hungarian Civil Code. *Zbornik radova pravnog fakulteta* (Novi Sad, Serbia) 46:2:551–571, 2012.

Ramaswamy, M.P. The scope of CISG in China and Brazil and facilitation of trade with Portuguese speaking countries. *People: international journal of social sciences* (Rajasthan, India) 3:2:78–92, 2017.

Rivollier, V. L'influence du droit *européen* et international des contrats sur la réforme française du droit des obligations. *Revue internationale de droit comparé* (Paris) 69:4:757–777, 2017.

Romanchuk, S.V. and S.S. Racheva. Legal perspectives and obstacles for unified digital contract rules on online sales in the European Union within the digital single market strategy context. *InterEU law east* (Zagreb) 3:95–106, 2016.

Rostila, O. *Disgorgement* and the CISG: comparative and future perspectives. Rovaniemi, Finnland, University of Lapland, 2017. 92 p. Thesis (Master).

Schulze, R. The reform of *French* contract law: a German perspective. *Montesquieu law review* (Bordeaux, France) 6, 2017, 8 p.

Schwenzer, I.H. and L. Spagnolo, eds. The electronic CISG: 7th Annual MAA Schlechtriem CISG *Conference*, 26 March 2015, Vienna. Conference in honour of Peter Schlechtriem 1933–2007. The Hague, Eleven International Publishing, 2017. 136 p.

Shreedhar, A. Software *transactions* in transnational commercial law. *George Mason journal of international commercial law* (Arlington, Va.) 7:3: 184–201, 2016.

Sikirić, H. and others, *eds*. International conference: 35 years of CISG - present experiences and future challenges - organized by the UNCITRAL Secretariat and the Faculty of Law, University of Zagreb, Zagreb, December 1–2, 2015 [conference materials]. Zagreb, University of Zagreb, Faculty of Law, 2016. 453 p.

Smythe, D.J. Reasonable *standards* for contract interpretations under the CISG. *Social science research network* September 26, 2016. California Western School of Law Research Paper No. 17-2.

Stone, B. Contracts for the international sale of goods: the Convention and the Code. *Michigan State international law review* (East Lansing, Mich.) 23:3:753–821, 2015.

Valdenebro, J. de. *Reflexiones* sobre la unificación de civil y comercial: la CISG como criterio aconsejable. *Revista de derecho privado* (Bogotá) 45:1–52, 2011.

Van der Merwe, L. The impact of South Africa's non-ratification of the Convention on the International Sale of Goods ("CISG") on its trade as well as relations with other countries. Johannesburg, University of South Africa, 2017. 37 p. Short Thesis (LL.M).

Van Hall, S.E. Contracten in de *internationale* handel: via casestudy's naar praktisch inzicht. 2nd ed. Utrecht, The Netherlands, Noordhoff Uitgevers, 2017. 327 p. Translation of title: Contracts in international trade: through case studies to practical insight.

Vásquez, M.F. ¿Cómo se ha comportado la doctrina jurisprudencial chilena en relación a la compraventa *internacional* de mercaderías? *Mercurio* 6 November 2017.

Wallau Rodrigues, G. and D. Beck da Silva Giannakos. A cláusula de hardship como forma de mitigação da assimetria de informação nos contratos internacionais = the hardship clause as a form of mitigation of information asymmetry in international contracts. *Revista electrónica de direito* (Porto, Portugal) 2:1–14, June 2017.

Wethmar-Lemmer, M. Applying the CISG via the rules of private international law: Articles 1 (1)(b) and 95 of the CISG - analysing CISG Advisory Council Opinion 15. *De jure* (Pretoria) 49:1:58–73, 2016.

Witz, C. and B. Köhler. Droit *uniforme* de la vente internationale de marchandises: janvier 2015 – décembre 2016. *Recueil Dalloz* (Paris) 193:11:613–625, 2017.

Yıldırım, A.C. Raisonnable et bonne foi: de nouveaux usages des standards juridiques? = reasonable and good faith: new uses for legal standards? *Revue de droit des affaires internationales = International business law journal* (Paris) 6:549–562, 2017.

Zeitoune, I. Aspectos relacionados ao instituto das perdas e danos no âmbito da CISG: repercussões de sua internalização no direito brasileiro. *GEN jurídico* (São Paolo) 20 March 2017. Translation of title: Issues pertaining to damages under the CISG (1980): the repercussions of incorporation into Brazilian law.

Zwernemann, D. and others. UN-Kaufrecht: Übereinkommen der Vereinten Nationen über Verträge über den *internationalen* Warenverkauf. Stuttgart, Germany, Industrie- und Handelskammer, 2011. 58 p. Translation of title: CISG (1980).

## III. International commercial arbitration and conciliation

Abadi, M.H. and A.A. Kalkoshki. Formalities and regulations governing the arbitration *proceedings* in international law. *Journal of politics and law* (Toronto) 10:4:108–117, 2017.

Abou El Farag, M.S. The enforcement of settlement agreements resulting from mediation: a Qatari perspective. *Asian business lawyer* (Seoul) 19:49–70, 2017.

Abu-Hagras, M. Two ICC arbitrations disturbed by two court orders: the impact of ignoring the power of the ICC Court *to* extend the time limit for the award. *Arab law quarterly* (Leiden, The Netherlands) 31:3:203–244, 2017.

Alrefaei, F.M.Z. International arbitration agreements as a source of legislation and its impact on the Saudi arbitration law. *Journal of law, policy and globalization* 63:69–85, 2017.

Anukaran, S. Security for costs in international commercial arbitration: mandate, exercise of mandate, standards and third *party* funding. *Arbitration* (London) 84:1:77–88, 2018.

Armbrüster, C. and V. Wächter. *Ablehnung* von Schiedsrichtern wegen Befangenheit im Verfahren. *SchiedsVZ* (München) 15:5:213–223, 2017. Translation of title: Challenge of arbitrators for bias in arbitration proceedings.

Asłanowicz, M. UNCITRAL: regulamin i ustawa modelowa. *Studia prawnicze* (Warszawa) 1:7–33, 2013. Translation of title: UNCITRAL: regulations and model law.

Badah, S. Refusing the recognition and enforcement of foreign arbitral awards on the grounds that the arbitral proceedings were *unfair* and composition of the arbitral tribunal. *Currents: international trade law journal* (Houston, Tex.) 23:1:34–43, 2016.

Balcerzak, F. and J. Hepburn. Publication of *investment* treaty awards: the qualified potential of domestic access to information laws. *Groningen journal of international law* (Groningen, The Netherlands) 3:1:147–170, 2015.

Bang, J. and D. MacArthur. Korean Arbitration Act amended to adopt key features of 2006 Model Law amendments. *Journal of international arbitration: special issue on dispute resolution in Asia* (Alphen aan den Rijn, The Netherlands) 34:567–583, June 2017.

Bareła, M. Sądowa kontrola postępowania arbitrażowego z perspektywy doświadczeń międzynarodowych. *Studia prawnicze* (*Warszawa*) 1:35–67, 2013. Translation of title: Judicial review of arbitration proceedings in light of international practice.

Barrington, L. The Vis East Moot at fifteen years: looking back and looking forward. *Asian dispute review* (Hong *Kong*) 20–23, January 2018.

Beatson, J. International arbitration, public policy considerations, and conflicts of law: the perspectives of *reviewing* and enforcing courts. *Arbitration international* (Oxford, U.K.) 33:2:175–196, 2017.

Belhadj, M. and C. Montineri. *UNCITRAL* at 50 = 50 anos de UNCITRAL. *Revista de arbitragem e mediação* (São Paulo) 13:50:569–580, 2016.

Bermann, G.A. International arbitration and private international law: general course on private international law. *Recueil des cours = Collected courses of the Hague Academy of International Law* (Leiden, The Netherlands) 381:41–484, 2015.

Bernardini, P. The finality of international arbitral awards. *Rivista dell'arbitrato* (Milano, Italy) 26:3:407–422, 2016.

Betancourt, J.C. State liability for breach of Article II.3 of the 1958 New York Convention. *Arbitration international* (Oxford, U.K.) 33:2:203–247, 2017.

B[illegible]ancourt, J.C. and J.A. Crook, eds. ADR, *arbitration*, and mediation: a collection of essays. Bloomington, Ind., AuthorHouse, 2014. 692 p.

B[illegible]anke, G. Recent developments of (international) commercial arbitration in the UAE. *Arbitration* (London), part II 83:2:164–*184*, 2017, part III 83:3:271–287, 2017.

B[illegible]hmi Zouaoui, N. Les états arabes face à l'arbitrage international: bilan et perspectives - rapport de synthèse = Arab states facing international arbitration: current situation and prospects - summary report. *Revue de droit des affaires internationales = International business law journal* (Paris) 6:335–345, 2017.

B[illegible]d, G. and B.J. Kelley. Light at the end of tunnel: enforcing arbitral awards against sovereigns. *Mealey's international arbitration report* (Philadelphia, Pa.) 32:11:33–38, 2017.

B[illegible]iansky, M. and A.C. Lang. "*Challenges*" to party-appointed experts. *SchiedsVZ* (München) 15:6:269–277, 2017.

Cai[illegible]edo Demoulin, J.J. L'exécution et le contrôle judiciaire des décisions prises par les arbitres d'urgence. *Revue de l'arbitrage* (Paris) 2:445–481, 2017.

Car[illegible]cino, R. and G.A. Uruchurtu. El arbitraje comercial internacional. Madrid, Wolters Kluwer, S.A., 2016. 277 p.

Car[illegible]er, J.H., ed. International *Arbitration* Review. 8th ed. London, Law Business Research Ltd, 2017. 590 p.

Cel[illegible]%, D. Use of anti-suit injunctions within and outside the scope of Brussels Regulations. *European transport law* (Antwerpen, Belgium) 52:1:3–13, 2017.

Cha[illegible]t, L.S. Resurrecting the *right* to challenge a tribunal's jurisdiction after a final award. *Korean arbitration review* (Seoul) 4:2–10, 2014.

Cha[illegible]pter three special observation on international commercial arbitration in China: the application of the CISG in *international* commercial arbitration in China. *Annual report on international commercial arbitration in China* (Beijing) 67–109, 2015.

Che[illegible] S. and others. Some reflections on the Willem C Vis and Vis East International Commercial Arbitration Moots: negotiating and bridging the civil-common divide. *Indian journal of arbitration law* (Jodhpur, *India*) 6:1:133–149, 2017.

Chu[illegible]eck Kian, D. The SIAC-SIMC Arb-Med-Arb protocol: enforcing international commercial mediated settlement *agreements* (MSAs) through the New York Convention. In Contemporary issues in mediation, volume 1. J. Lee and M. Lim, eds. Singapore, World Scientific Publishing, 2016, p. 85–107.

Chr[illegible]eziel, P. International arbitration of intellectual property disputes: a practitioner's guide. München, Germany, Verlag C.H. Beck, 2017. 184 p.

Coy[illegible]s, J.G. The TTIP Investment Court System: an evolution of investor-state dispute settlement. *European international arbitration review* (Huntington, N.Y.) 5:2:1–21, 2016.

Cum[illegible]ains, T. and others. Economic sanctions: implications for international arbitration. *Middle Eastern and African arbitration review* (London) 19 April 2017.

Dabi[illegible]at, E.A.R. A legal framework for *online* commercial arbitration in UAE: new fabric but old style! *Information & communication technology law* (Abingdon, U.K.) 26:3:272–292, 2017.

Dalh[illegible]sen, J. Dalhuisen on transnational comparative, commercial, financial and trade law, Volume 1: the transnationalisation of commercial and financial law and of commercial, financial and investment dispute *resolution*. The new lex mercatoria and its sources. 6th ed. Portland, Or., Hart Publishing, 2016. 688 p.

Davidson, R.B. and others. International arbitration experts discuss shifts in transparency, significant developments this year *and* what 2018 may bring. *Mealey's international arbitration report* (Philadelphia, Pa.) 32:11:44–50, 2017.

Dew, M. and N. Khouri. International commercial mediation and the UNCITRAL initiative. *New Zealand law journal* (Auckland) 21–23, February 2017.

Dias Simões, F. A guardian and a friend?: the European Commission's participation in investment arbitration. *Michigan State international law review* (East Lansing, Mich.) 25:2:233–303, 2017.

Ding, J. and H. Sippel. The 2017 KLRCA Arbitration Rules. *ASA bulletin* (Alphen aan den Rijn, The Netherlands) 35:4:888–908, 2017.

Donoso Bustamante, A.C., ed. Los 20 años de la Ley de Arbitraje y Mediación en el Ecuador: historia, desarrollo y retos. Quito, Cevallos, 2017. 452 p.

Drahozal, C.R. Diversity and uniformity in international arbitration law. *Emory international law review* (Atlanta, Ga.) 31:393–414, 2017.

Dridi, N. The enforcement of foreign arbitration awards in Vietnam: overview and criticisms. *Harvard international law journal* (Cambridge, Mass.) 59:13–21, 2017.

Duggal, K. and G. *Niehoff*. The conflicting landscape relating to costs in investor-state arbitration. *Indian journal of arbitration law* (Jodhpur, India) 5:2:164–176, 2017.

El-Hosseny, F. and E.H. Vetulli. Amicus acceptance and relevance: the distinctive example of Philip *Morris* v. Uruguay. *Netherlands international law review* (Heidelberg, Germany) 64:1:73–94, 2017.

Emara, F.A.E. Les mesures provisoires en arbitrage commercial international. Saarbrücken, Germany, Dictus Publishing, 2016. 485 p.

Enaw, T. The enforcement of arbitral awards in harmonized legal systems: challenges in the application of the OHADA Uniform Act on Arbitration. *Transnational dispute management* (Voorburg, The Netherlands) 15:1, January 2018.

Euler, D. and others, eds. *Transparency* in international investment arbitration: a guide to the UNCITRAL Rules on Transparency in Treaty-Based Investor-State Arbitration. Cambridge, U.K., Cambridge University Press, 2015. 369 p.

Fagbemi, S.A. The doctrine of party autonomy in international commercial arbitration: myth or *reality? Journal of sustainable development law and policy* (Nigeria) 6:1:222–246, 2015.

Florescu, C.I. Introducere în probleme de etică privind arbitrii şi avocaţii în arbitrajul internaţional. *Revista română de drept al afacerilor* (Romania) 1:106–118, 2016. Translation of title: Introduction to ethics for arbitrators and lawyers in international arbitration.

Fortese, F. and L. Hemmi. Procedural fairness and efficiency in international arbitration. *Groningen journal of international law* (Groningen, The Netherlands) 3:1:110–124, 2015.

Gaffney, J.P. Should the European *Union* regulate commercial arbitration? *Arbitration international* (Oxford, U.K.) 33:1:81–98, 2017.

Gaillard, E. and G.A. Bermann, eds. *Guide* on the Convention on the Recognition and Enforcement of Foreign Arbitral Awards: New York, 1958. Leiden, The Netherlands, Brill, 2017. 380 p.

Giessel-Kalinowska vel Kalisz, B. UNCITRAL Model Law: composition of the arbitration tribunal re-considering the case upon setting aside of the original

arbitration award. *Journal of international arbitration* (Alphen aan den Rijn, The Netherlands) 34:1:17–33, 2017.

Giaretta, B. *Emergency* arbitration: what's the future? *Resolver* (London) 12–14, autumn 2017.

Giorgetti, C. Between *legitimacy* and control: challenges and recusals of judges and arbitrators in international courts and tribunals. *George Washington international law review* (Washington, D.C.) 49:2:205–258, 2016.

Giovannini, T. Immunity of *arbitrators*. *Arbitration* (London) 83:4:436–446, 2017.

Goldstein, M.J. A glance into history for the emergency arbitrator. *Fordham international law journal* (New York) 40:3:779–797, 2017.

Greenberg, E.E. Realizing the gap between rationality and information. *Washington and Lee law review online* (Lexington, Va.) 74:1:46–63, 2017.

Gu, W. Piercing the veil of arbitration reform in China: promises, pitfalls, patterns, prognoses, and prospects. *American journal of comparative law* (Washington, D.C.) 65:4:799–840, 2017.

Guichard, P. Arbitrage commercial international et intérêts étatiques: avantages de la convention d'arbitrage internationale mixte. Lyon, France, Université de Lyon, 2017. 444 p. Thesis (PhD.

Happ, R. and S. Wuschka. From the Jay *Treaty* Commissions towards a multilateral investment court: addressing the enforcement dilemma. *Indian journal of arbitration law* (Jodhpur, India) 6:1:113–132, 2017.

Hattakij, P. Substantive law rule in Thai arbitration law under consideration of the UNCITRAL Model Law on International *Commercial* Arbitration. *COJ comparative law journal* (Bangkok) 6:1:123–154, 2015.

Hausmaninger, C. and A. Konecny. Kommentar zu den Zivilprozessgesetzen. 3rd ed. Wien, Manz, 2016. 1389 p. 4. Band/2. Teilband. §§ 577 – 618 ZPO (arbitration proceedings). Translation of title: Commentaries on civil procedure laws.

Hill, J. Setting aside of arbitral awards under the Arbitration Act 1996 and the UNCITRAL Model Law on *International* Commercial Arbitration: failure to deal with all the issues. *University of Bristol Law School blog* 11 September 2017.

Hobér, K. Emergency arbitration *in* Stockholm. *Scandinavian studies in law* (Stockholm) 63:69–94, 2017.

Huseynli, K. Enforcement of investment arbitration awards: problems and solutions. *Baku State University law review* (Baku) 3:1:40–74, 2017.

International Center for *Dispute* Resolution (ICDR) and American Arbitration Association, eds. Handbook on international arbitration and ADR. 3rd ed. Huntington, N.Y., JurisNet LLC, 2017. 430 p.

_____, eds. *Handbook* on international arbitration practice. 2nd ed. Huntington, N.Y., JurisNet LLC, 2017. 454 p.

Iwu, P.-A. International *arbitration*: transnational debate and ethics quagmire. *Transnational dispute management* (Voorburg, The Netherlands) 15:1, January 2018.

Jiménez Piernas, C. and A.M. *Aronovitz*, eds. New trends in international economic law: from relativism to cooperation. Geneva, Schulthess, 2018. 292 p.

Kačevska, I. Non-recognition of foreign arbitral awards pursuant to Article V 1 of the New York Convention. *Juridiskā zinātne* (Riga) 6:152:164, 2014.

Kalicki, J.E. and A. Joubin-Bret, eds. Reshaping the investor-State dispute settlement system: journeys for the 21st *century*. Leiden, The Netherlands, Brill, 2015. 1003 p.

Kamena, B. L'exequatur des *sentences* arbitrales étrangères dans l'espace OHADA. *Actualités du droit* 15 janvier 2018.

Karamanian, S.L. The role of international human rights law in re-shaping investor-state arbitration. *International journal of legal information* (Washington, D.C.) 45:1:34–41, 2017.

Kaufmann-Kohler, G. and A. *Rigozzi*. International arbitration: law and practice in Switzerland. Oxford, U.K., Oxford University Press, 2015. 577 p.

Kenfack Douajni, G., ed. L'arbitrage en matière commerciale et des investissements en Afrique: actes du colloque organisé par l'Association pour la Promotion de l'Arbitrage en Afrique (APAA) les 31 octobre et 1er novembre 2013 à Yaoundé (Cameroun). Pau, France, Presses de l'Université de Pau et des Pays de l'Adour, 2017. 406 p.

Kessedjian, C., ed. Entretien Catherine Kessedjian: médiations internationales: un nouvel instrument d'exécution de la CNUDCI. *Recueil Dalloz* (Paris) 41:2416, 2017.

Khatchadourian, M. Overview of the new Qatari Arbitration Law. *MENA business law review* (Paris) 1:4–7, 2017.

Kho, S. [et al.]. The EU TTIP *investment* court proposal and the WTO dispute settlement system: comparing apples and oranges? *ICSID review* (Oxford, U.K.) 32:2:326–345, 2017.

Kim, D.-S. and D. Kim. An overview of recognition and enforcement in Korea: recent Arbitration Act amendments and case highlights. *Dispute resolution journal* (Huntington, N.Y.) 72:1:91–101, 2017.

Kim, U.N. On the development of commercial arbitration in the Democratic People's Republic of Korea. *SchiedsVZ* (München) 15:2:95–99, 2017.

Kiminou, R. and M. Vivant, eds. *L'harmonisation* du droit des affaires dans la Caraïbe = La armonización del derecho mercantil en el Caribe = Harmonization of business law in the Caribbean. Saint-Denis, France, Editions Connaissances et Savoirs, 2016. 590 p.

Klausegger, C., ed. Austrian *yearbook* on international arbitration 2018. 12th ed. Wien, Manz, 2018. 311 p.

Knieper, J. Investitionsschutzabkommen und Öffentlichkeit: die UNCITRAL-Transparenzstandards. *Revista română de arbitraj* (Bucureşti) 12:1:10–15, 2018. Translation of title: Investment treaties and public interest: the UNCITRAL transparency standards.

Knieper, R. and D. Ziyaeva. *Turkmenistan*. In Law and practice of international arbitration in the CIS region. K. Hober, Y. Kryvoi, eds. Alphen aan den Rijn, The Netherlands, Kluwer Law International, 2017, Ch. 9, p. 345–382.

Korzun, V. The right to regulate in investor-State arbitration: slicing and dicing regulatory carve-outs. *Vanderbilt journal of transnational law* (Nashville, Tenn.) 50:2:355–414, 2017.

Kreindler, R.H. The New York Convention and insolvency: challenges and questions based on recent case law and *practice*. *Rivista dell'arbitrato* (Milano, Italy) 26:2:283–291, 2016.

Lamb, S. and others. Recent *developments* in the law and practice of amicus briefs in investor-state arbitration. *Indian journal of arbitration law* (Jodhpur, India) 5:2:72–92, 2017.

Lamothe, J.G. Cleaning up the *mess*: the eleventh circuit offers insight onto § 202 of the New York Convention by defining "performance abroad." *Tulane maritime law journal* (New Orleans, La.) 41:627–640, 2017.

Larusson, H.K. Expedited *arbitration*: meeting the needs of SMEs. *Scandinavian studies in law* (Stockholm) 63:169–180, 2017.

Le Bars, B. and T. Shiroor. *Provisional* measures in investment arbitration: wading through the murky waters of enforcement. *Indian journal of arbitration law* (Jodhpur, India) 6:1:24–42, 2017.

Lederer, N. Article II (2) of the New York Convention and modern means of electronic communication: *are* letters and telegrams an archaic relic from the past? *SchiedsVZ* (München) 15:5:245–247, 2017.

Lee, J. and Y.S. Um. *The* new Arbitration Act of Korea: focus on the new interim measure regime and courts' assistance in evidence taking. *Korean arbitration review* (Seoul) 7:16–23, 2017.

Llatja, A. and J. Knieper. *South*-East Europe and UNCITRAL. *SchiedsVZ* (München, Germany) 15:4:182–186, 2017.

MacArthur, D. and K. Junu. 2016 amendments to Korea's national arbitration legislation. *Dispute resolution journal* (Huntington, N.Y.) 72:1:67–82, 2017.

Mante, J. Arbitrability and *public* policy: an African perspective. *Arbitration international* (Oxford, U.K.) 33:2:275–294, 2017.

Markert, L. and J. Burghardt. Navigating the digital maze: pertinent issues in e-arbitration. *Journal of arbitration studies* (Republic of Korea) 27:3:3–31, 2017.

Miller, K. The future of investor-*State* arbitration: greater transparency on the horizon for UNCITRAL Rules. *Arbitration law review* (University Park, Pa.) 3:602–619, 2011.

Moollan, S. Closing remarks. *Asian dispute review* (Hong Kong) 214–220, 2015. Closing remarks delivered to the Chartered Institute of Arbitrators' Centenary Conference in *Hong* Kong on 20 March 2015.

Moses, M.L. The principles and practice of international commercial arbitration. 3rd ed. Cambridge; New *York*, Cambridge University Press, 2017. 411 p.

Mukhtar, S. and S.M. Khan Mastoi. The challenge of arbitral awards in Pakistan. *Journal of arbitration studies* (Seoul) 27:1:37–57, 2017.

Müller, C. and others, eds. New developments in international commercial arbitration 2016. Zurich, Schulthess, 2016. *265* p.

Negm, M.H. The new Qatari *Arbitration* Law: convergence towards finding rhythm in inconsistent drumbeats. *ASA bulletin* (Alphen aan den Rijn, The Netherlands) 35:2:293–318, 2017.

Nyombi, C. Rationalizing the defences to enforcement under the New York Convention 1958. *Asper review of international business and trade law* (Winnipeg, Man.) 17:111–130, 2017.

_____. The practicalities of delocalisation in international commercial arbitration. *Revue de droit des affaires internationales = International business law journal* (Paris) 5:461–496, 2017.

Obadia, E. Waiving resort to local courts to obtain provisional measures in investment arbitration: the cherry *on* the cake? *Cahiers de l'arbitrage = Paris journal of international arbitration* (Paris) 4:815–855, 2016.

Obi, S. 国際投資仲裁の基礎. *Kokusai shōji hōmu* (Tokyo) part 1 (45:4:487–497, 2017), part 2 part 2 (45:5:667–673), part 3 (45:6:823–829), part 4 (45:7:967–975), part 5 (45:8:1139–1146), part 6 (45:9:1287–1294),

part 7 (45:10:1432–1437), part 8 (45:11:1573–1581), part 9 (45:12:1727–1734, 2017), part 10 (46:1:53–60, 2018), part 11 (46:2:199–207), part 12 (46:3:357–361, 2018). In Japanese. Translation of title: Basics of international investment arbitration.

Osman, F. Les états arabes face à l'arbitrage international: bilan et perspectives - préface = Arab states facing international *arbitration*: current situation and prospects - foreword. *Revue de droit des affaires internationales = International business law journal* (Paris) 6:329–333, 2017.

Osman, F. and A.C. Yıldırım, eds. Où va l'arbitrage international ?: de la crise au renouveau: journées d'études *méditerranéennes* en l'honneur du professeur Ali Bencheneb, Istanbul, 8 et 9 avril 2016. Paris, LexisNexis SA, 2017. 477 p.

Pălăcean, C. Forma scrisă/nescrisă a convenţiei arbitrale: dispoziţiile art. 7 din Legea Model UNCITRAL. *Conferinta internationala de drept, studii europene si relatii internationale* (Bucureşti) 57–64, 2016. Translation of title: The written/unwritten form of the arbitration agreement: the provisions of Art. 7 of the UNCITRAL Model Law.

Pathak, H. and P. Panjwani. Parallel proceedings in Indian arbitration law: invoking lis pendens. *Journal of international arbitration special issue on dispute resolution in Asia* (Alphen aan den Rijn, The Netherlands) 34:509–543, June 2017.

Paulsson, M.R.P. The 1958 New York Convention from an unusual perspective: moving forward by parting with it. *Indian journal of arbitration law* (Jodhpur, India) 5:2:23–42, 2017.

Pérez Lozada, F. and B. Köhler. Duty to render enforceable awards: the specific case of impartiality. *Spain arbitration review* (Madrid) 27:71–94, 2016.

Permanent Court of Arbitration. International Bureau, ed. MIAC 2014: the Mauritius International Arbitration Conference *2014*: the Litmus test: challenges to awards and enforcement of awards in Africa. Papers from the joint conference of the Government of Mauritius, LCIA-MIAC Arbitration Centre, ICC, ICCA, ICSID, LCIA, PCA and UNCITRAL held in Mauritius on 15 and 16 December 2014. Mauritius, Regent Press Co. Ltd, 2014. 248 p.

Pierhuroviča, L. The European Convention on International Commercial Arbitration as a standard *for* repairing defective arbitration clauses. *Czech yearbook of international law* (The Hague) 8:261–279, 2017.

Puig, J.M.A. The *globalization* of international arbitration. *Global arbitration news* June 13, 2017.

Rajoo, S. Are there unique attributes of international arbitration culture in ASEAN? *Indonesia arbitration quarterly newsletter* (Jakarta) 9:1:1–5, 2017.

_____. The Malaysian Arbitration Act 2005 (amended 2011): an annotation. Malaysia, LexisNexis Malaysia, *2013*. 299 p.

Rattanawut, J. and others. Recognition and enforcement of foreign arbitral awards in ASEAN countries. *Journal of Southern technology* (Thailand) 10:2:127–133, 2017. In Thai with English abstract.

Redfern, A. The importance of being independent: laws of arbitration, rules, guidelines - and a *disastrous* award. *Indian journal of arbitration law* (Jodhpur, India) 6:1:9–23, 2017.

Reed, L. Ab(use) of due *process*: sword vs shield. *Arbitration international* (Oxford, U.K.) 33:3:361–377, 2017.

Reisenfeld, K.B. and J.M. Robbins. Finality under the Washington and New York Conventions: another swing of the pendulum? *ICSID review* (Oxford, U.K.) 32:2:371–384, 2017.

Respondek, A., ed. Asia *arbitration* guide. 5th ed. Singapore, Respondek & Fan, 2017. 336 p.

Ribeiro, J. and S. Sato. *Transparency* in investment arbitration: its importance for Japan. *Asian international arbitration journal* (Singapore) 13:1:27–51, 2017.

Ribeiro, J. and S. Teh. The time for a new arbitration law in China: comparing the arbitration law in China with the UNCITRAL Model Law. *Journal of international arbitration: special issue on dispute resolution in Asia* (Alphen aan den Rijn, The Netherlands) 34:459–487, June 2017.

Rooney, K.M. Managing time and costs more effectively: some observations on the potential tension *between* party autonomy and due process under the Model Law. *Korean arbitration review* (Seoul) 5:39–44, 2015.

Ruscalla, G. Transparency in international arbitration: any (concrete) need to codify the standard? *Groningen journal of international law* (Groningen, The Netherlands) 3:1:1–26, 2015.

Santacroce, F.G. Navigating the troubled waters between jurisdiction and admissibility: an analysis of which law should govern characterization of preliminary issues in international *arbitration*. *Arbitration international* (Oxford, U.K.) 33:4:539–570, 2017.

Savransky, M.Y. Независимость и беспристрастность арбитров: новые международные стандарты. *Вестник международного коммерческого арбитража (International commercial arbitration review)* (Alphen aan den Rijn, The Netherlands) 2:109–119, 2016. Translation of title: The independence and impartiality of arbitrators: new international standards.

Schaffstein, S. The doctrine of res judicata before international commercial arbitral tribunals. Oxford, U.K., Oxford *University* Press, 2016. 326 p.

Schafler, M.D. and others. The *appearance* of justice: independence and impartiality of arbitrators under Indian and Canadian law. *Indian journal of arbitration law* (Jodhpur, India) 5:2:150–163, 2017.

Selim, I. The synergy between common law and civil law under UNCITRAL and CRCICA Rules. *Arbitration (London)* 83:4:402–411, 2017.

Seppälä, C.R. Why Finland should adopt the UNCITRAL Model Law on International Commercial Arbitration. *Journal of international arbitration* (Alphen aan den Rijn, The Netherlands) 34:4:585–599, 2017.

Seyadi, R.M. Understanding the jurisprudence of the Arab Gulf States national courts on the implementation of the 1958 New York Convention on the Recognition and Enforcement of Foreign *Arbitral* Awards. *International review of law* (Qatar) 12:1–13, 2017.

Shahla, A. Asian disasters, global impact: Japan's Fukushima disaster and prospects for utilising investor-State *mediation* and the UNCITRAL Transparency Rules for polycentric environment disaster-related disputes. *Asian dispute review* (Hong Kong) 34–38, 2015.

Sharar, Z.A.A. An examination of the basic principles in the new Qatar Arbitration Law measured against the UNCITRAL Model Law as international benchmark. *Social science research network* June 30, 2017.

Sim, C. Security for costs in investor-State arbitration. *Arbitration international* (Oxford, U.K.) 33:3:427–495, 2017.

Sindhu, J. Public policy and Indian arbitration: can the judiciary and the legislature rein in the "unruly horse"? *Arbitration* (London) 83:2:147–163, 2017.

Sippel, H. An overview of the revisions to the new Korean Arbitration Act: will Korea now become an East Asian *arbitration* hub? *SchiedsVZ* (München) 15:2:90–95, 2017.

Sørensen, J.B. and K. Torp. The *second* look in European Union competition law: a Scandinavian perspective. *Journal of international arbitration* (Alphen aan den Rijn, The Netherlands) 34:1:35–54, 2017.

Special issue on Iura novit curia and the power of the arbitral tribunal. *European international arbitration review* (*Huntington*, N.Y.) 6:1:1–160, 2017.

Strong, S.I. Clash of cultures: epistemic communities, negotiation theory, and international lawmaking. *Akron law review* (Akron, Ohio) 50:3:495–535, 2016.

Sultan bin Abu Backer, H.H. Astro *Lippo*: is "passive remedy" an anathema to the enforcement of international arbitration award? *KLRCA newsletter* (Kuala Lumpur) 20:28–38, 2015.

Szalay, G. A brief history of international arbitration, its role in the 21st century and the examination of the arbitration rules of certain arbitral institutions with regards to privacy and *confidentiality*. *Law series of the annals of the West University of Timisoara* (Timis, Romania) 2:5–20, 2016.

_____. Arbitration and transparency: relations between a private environment and a fundamental requirement. *Slovenska arbitražna praksa* (Ljubljana) 6:1:17–34, 2017.

Tamminen, A.-M. and H. Lehto. Issue conflicts as basis for challenge of an arbitrator: a new first in Nordic arbitration practice? *Scandinavian studies in law* (Stockholm) 63:331–354, 2017.

Tesfay, S.Y. The normative basis for decision on the merits in commercial arbitration: the extent of party autonomy. *Mizan law review* (Ethiopia) 10:2:341–365, 2016.

Theodorakis, N.I. The UNCITRAL Rules on Transparency as a tool against institutional corruption. *Amicus curiae* (London) 102:2–11, 2015.

Timonen, T. and N. Larkimo. Attracting international arbitrations through adoption of predictable and transparent national *legislation*: advantages of the UNCITRAL Model Law for an aspiring arbitration seat. *Scandinavian studies in law* (Stockholm) 63:355–369, 2017.

Torgbor, E. Courts and the effectiveness of arbitration in Africa. *Arbitration international* (*Oxford*, U.K.) 33:3:379–394, 2017.

Trent, B. The U.N.'s *latest* moves on cross-border mediation and arbitration practices. *Alternatives* (Chichester, U.K.) 34:8:115–119, 2016.

Tucker, L.A. Interim measures under revised UNCITRAL Arbitration Rules: comparison to Model Law *reflects* both greater flexibility and remaining uncertainty. *International commercial arbitration brief* (Washington, D.C.) 1:2:15–23, 2011.

Välimiesmenettelyn *teemanumero*. *Defensor legis* (Helsinki) 4:469–701, 2017. Special journal issue on arbitration.

Vesel, S. Will the future see more investment arbitrations taking place in the Middle East? *BCDR international arbitration review* (Alphen aan den Rijn, The Netherlands) 3:2:267–278, 2016.

Vlahoyiannis, V. The reality of international commercial arbitration in California. *Hastings law journal* (Hastings, Calif.) 68:909–930, 2017.

Wilske, S. and others. Entwicklungen in der internationalen Schiedsgerichtsbarkeit im Jahr 2016 und Ausblick *auf* 2017. *SchiedsVZ* (München) 15:2:49–72, 2017. Translation of title: Developments in international arbitration during 2016 and outlook on 2017.

Wolff, R. Die Schiedsvereinbarung als unvollkommener Vertrag?: zum Rügeerfordernis des § 1032 Abs. 1 ZPO. In Einheit der Prozess-rechtswissenschaft? Tagung Junger *Prozessrechtswissenschaftler*,

18–19 September 2015, Köln. Daniel Effer-Uhe [et. al.] (eds.) Stuttgart, Germany, Boorberg, 2016, p. 419–432. Translation of title: The arbitration agreement as an imperfect contract?: on the notification requirement of § 1032 para. 1 ZPO.

_____. Judicial assistance by German courts in aid of international arbitration. In International arbitration *and* the courts. Bray/Bray, eds. Huntington, N.Y., JurisNet, 2015, Ch. 6, p. 233–268.

_____. Judicial requirements from the perspective of the arbitration court. In Альтернативне і судове *вирішення* спорів: поточний стан та нові тенденції в Україні, Німеччині та Польщі. Kiev, IRZ, 2016, p. 78–111. In Ukrainian.

_____. Spory korporacyjne przed sądami arbitrażowymi w niemczech: zagadnienia praktyczne i teoretyczne = Gesellschaftliche Streitigkeiten vor Schieds-gerichten: die deutsche Perspektive. In Spory *korporacyjne*: w praktyce arbitrażoweij - perspektywa polska i niemiecka = Gesellschaftliche Streitig-keiten: in der Praxis der Schiedsgerichtsbarkeit - polnische und deutsche Perspektiven. W. Jurcewicz [et al.] (eds.) Warszawa, Beck, 2017, p. 95–109 (Polish), p. 277–291 (German). Translation of title: Company disputes before arbitration: the German perspective.

Wolkewitz, M. Transparency and independence of arbitrators in investment arbitration: rule of law *implications*. *European investment law and arbitration review online* 1:1:288–301, 2016.

Wu, A. and D. Ng. The Hong Kong Court's approach to the enforcement of foreign arbitral awards. *Asian dispute review* (Hong Kong) 125–130, July 2017.

Wyraz, E. The differences in the use of expert witness evidence between international arbitration and litigation. *Czech (& central european) yearbook of arbitration* (The Hague) 7:171–189, 2017.

Yang, F. "How long have you got?": towards a transparent and streamlined system for enforcing foreign arbitral awards in *China*. *Journal of international arbitration: special issue on dispute resolution in Asia* (Alphen aan den Rijn, The Netherlands) 34:489–508, June 2017.

_____. Opportunities for young practitioners in international arbitration. *Arbitration* (London) 83:4:394–401, *2017*.

Zakaria, T.A.B. and others, eds. *Arbitration* in Malaysia: a practical guide. Malaysia, Thomson Reuters Malaysia, 2016. 820 p.

Zhu, M. A commentary on UNCITRAL's Investment Arbitration Transparency Rule. *Wuhan University international law review* (Wuhan, China) 1:119–137, 2017. In Chinese, with English abstract.

## IV. International transport

Agbam, I. L'évolution du régime de responsabilité du transporteur maritime de marchandises au *Togo*. *Lexafrika.com* 19 janvier 2018.

Basu Bal, A. and others. International single window environment: prospects and challenges. Tokyo, Asian Development Bank Institute, 2017. 28 p.

Bergami, R. The Rotterdam Rules and negotiable electronic transport documents in letter of credit business. In *Proceedings* of knowledge management, 5th International Conference (KMICe 2010). Kedah, Malaysia, Universiti Utara Malaysia, 2010, p. 296–301.

Bokareva, O. *Multimodal* transportation under the Rotterdam Rules: legal implications for European carriage of goods and the quest for uniformity. Lund, Sweden, Lund University, 2015. 374 p. Doctoral dissertation.

Ciok, P. The carrier's liability *for* damage to cargo in multimodal transport with special focus on the Rotterdam Rules. *Studia iuridica Toruniensia* (Toruń, Poland) 19:23–51, 2016.

Deckon, K.F. and A.A.G. *Deckon*. Togo: l'application des Règles de Rotterdam à la responsabilité du transporteur maritime de marchandises. *Wolters Kluwer France: actualités du droit* 15 November 2017.

Eftestøl-Wilhelmsson, E. Kan man ta miljöhänsyn i avtalsrätten?: ett exempel från transporträtten. *Tidskrift utgiven av juridiska föreningen i Finland* (Helsinki) 5:807–822, 2017. In Swedish. *Translation* of title: Are environmental concerns relevant in contract law? A transport law example.

Jiang, T. and Z. Jing. Shipper's title to sue after the transfer of the bill of lading: a comparative study for the *reform* of Chinese maritime law. *Journal of maritime law and commerce* (Baltimore, Md.) 48:2:155–182, 2017.

Juan y Mateu, F. Los contratos de volumen y la libertad contractual en las Reglas de Rotterdam. *Revista de derecho mercantil* (Spain) 295:217–251, 2015.

Kolli, K. Droit du transport *intermodal* international de marchandises: une perspective « supply chain management ». Montréal, Editions Thémis, 2016. 398 p.

Ma, Q. Determining the *liability* of deck cargo: a perspective of Rotterdam Rules. *Canadian social science* (Québec) 13:4:13–18, 2017.

Møllmann, A. Delivery of *goods* under bills of lading. Oxon, U.K., Routledge, 2017. 230 p.

Ramming, K., ed. Die Rotterdam Regeln: Vortragsveranstaltung des Deutschen Vereins für Internationales Seerecht am 12. Mai 2010. Hamburg, Storck Verlag, 2011. 162 p. Conference materials. Translation of title: The Rotterdam Rules: conference organized by DVIS on 12 May 2010.

Savković, V. Towards broader use of electronic bills of lading in international transport of goods: United Nations Convention on Contracts for the International Carriage of Goods Wholly of *Partly* by Sea (2009) - a new incentive or an obstacle? *SEE law journal* (Skopje) 1:1:85–95, 2014.

Tchimmogne, A. La ratification par le Cameroun des « Règles de Rotterdam »: une avancée inouïe ou un pas hâtif *dans* la CEMAC? *Le petit juriste blog* 28 April 2017.

Treitel, G.H. and F.M.B. *Reynolds*. Carver on bills of lading. 4th ed. London, Sweet & Maxwell, 2017. 922 p.

Umaña Munévar, L. Las Reglas de Rotterdam: una aproximación a la discusión desde la óptica aseguradora. *Revista fasecolda* (Bogotá) 40–45, 2017.

Yu, Y. and Y.-C. Chang. The "One Belt One Road" initiative and its impact on shipping law in China. *Marine policy* (Amsterdam) 87:291–294, 2018.

## V. International payments (including independent guarantees and standby letters of credit)

Mayomi, K. The case for an analytical construction and enforcement of demand guarantees in Nigeria. *Journal of sustainable development law and policy* (Nigeria) 7:2:247–269, 2016.

## VI. Electronic commerce

Abdullah, J.M. and R.A.H. Fatal.

إثبات صحة العقد الإلكتروني في القانون الفرنسي .
*Al-Fikr Al-Shurti* (United Arab Emirates) 25:96:217–286, 2016. Translation of title: Verifying authenticity of e-contract in French law.

Amandi, V.R. La Ley Modelo de Comercio Electrónico de la Comisión de Naciones Unidas de Derecho Mercantil Internacional. *Jurídica* (México, D.F.) 43:31–70, 2013.

Amro, I. The international legal framework regulating electronic commerce and online mediation. *Slovenska arbitražna praksa* (Ljubljana) 6:2:19–33, 2017.

Antonov, J.V. Issues of application and interpretation of international treaties in the system of e-*commerce*. *Czech yearbook of international law* (The Hague) 8:35–60, 2017.

Bakhtiarifar, G. and P. Savrai. Comparative survey of various approaches of the laws and regulations in relation to electronic signatures and security thereof. *Journal of politics and law* (Toronto, Ont.) 11:1:28–36, 2018.

Basu Bal, A. and others. International single window environment: prospects and challenges. Tokyo, Asian *Development* Bank Institute, 2017. 28 p.

Bertini Pasquot Polido, F. and L. Sávio Oliveira da Silva. Contratos internacionais eletrônicos e o direito brasileiro: entre a insuficiência normativa doméstica e as soluções globais. *Sequência* (Florianópolis, Brazil) 75:157–188, 2017. Translation of title: International electronic contracts and Brazilian law: between the domestic legal deficit and global solutions.

Bugorsky, V. Правовое регулирование электронного обмена данными при осуществлении внешнеэкономической деятельности. Translation of title: Legal regulation of electronic exchange of data while carrying out foreign economic activity.

Castellani, L. and others. White paper: making deals in cyberspace: what's the problem? Geneva, World *Economic* Forum, 2017. 13 p.

Castro Valle, C.M. Las tecnologías de la información y la comunicación (TIC's) en el derecho procesal civil hondureño. *Revista chilena de derecho* (Santiago) 43:2:759–782, 2015.

Choi, K.J. 전자양도성기록의 "점유"에 관한 소고. *Gachon law review* (Republic of Korea) 10:1:201–228, 2017. In Korean with English abstract. Translation of title: A study of "possession" of *electronic* transferable records.

Dahiyat, E.A.R. A legal framework for online commercial arbitration in UAE: new fabric but old style! *Information & communication technology law* (Abingdon, U.K.) 26:3:272–292, 2017.

Decocq, G., ed. Études en *l'honneur* du Professeur Jérôme Huet. Issy-les-Moulineaux Cedex, France, LGDJ, 2017. 427 p.

Dutov, M.M. Розвиток правового регулювання електронної комерції при здійсненні зовнішньоекономічної діяльності. *Bulletin of the Institute of Economic and Legal Studies of the National Academy of Sciences of Ukraine* (Donetsk) 1:69–74, 2010. In Ukrainian. Translation of title: The development of legal regulation of e-commerce when realizing foreign economic activity.

Escobar Mora, C.A. El derecho preventivo para la eficacia jurídica de los derechos del consumidor frente a la publicidad en el comercio electrónico. Bogotá, Universidad Externado de Colombia, 2017. 403 p. Thesis (PhD).

Filatova N. Yu. Правочини з використанням електронної форми представлення інформації. *Problems of legality (Yaroslav Mudryi National Law University)* 136:40–55, March 2017. In Ukrainian with English abstract. Translation of title: Bargaining with the use of electronic form.

Flórez, G.D. La validez jurídica de los documentos electrónicos en Colombia a partir de sus evolución legislativa y *jurisprudencial* = Legal validity of electronic documents in Colombia from their legislative evolution and jurisprudence. *Verba iuris* (Bogotá) 31:43–71, 2014.

Fontalvo Ramos, R. La incorporación de las comunicaciones electrónicas en los contratos de compraventa *internacional* de mercaderías = the incorporation of electronic communications in contracts of international sale of goods. *Ars iuris Salmanticensis* (Salamanca, Spain) 4:103–121, 2016.

Freedman, B.J., ed. *Consolidated* electronic commerce statutes and regulations 2017: with related materials. Toronto, Thomson Reuters Canada, 2017. 1197 p.

Hibner, J. The development of an information society and electronic commerce in the European Union in the *context* of selected documents of the EU and international organisations. *Comparative economic research. Central and Eastern Europe* (Berlin) 15:1:103–118, 2012.

Imbachí, J.F. M-commerce: el *comercio* electrónico móvil y los pagos a través de dispositivos móviles. *Revista con-texto* (Bogotá) 46:117–141, 2016.

Inside FIATA HQ 2017: Advisory *Body* Legal Matters (ABLM). *FIATA review* (Zürich) 117:11–12, 2017.

Jacquemin, H. and J.-B. Hubin. Aspects contractuels et de responsabilité civile en matière d'intelligence *artificielle*. In L'intelligence artificielle et le droit. H. Jacquemin, A. de Streel, eds. Bruxelles, Larcier, 2017, p. 73–141.

Jung, G.Y. UNCITRAL 전자양도성기록(ERT) 모델법에 관한 연구: 국내법에의 수용에 관한 검토를 포함하여. *Comparative private law* (Seoul) 24:4:1597–1644, 2017. In Korean with English abstract. Translation of title: A study on the UNCITRAL Model Law on Electronic Transferable Records (2017) including analyses on the introduction into national law.

Kamantauskas, P. Formation of click-wrap and browse-wrap contracts. *Teisės apžvalga = Law review* (Kaunas, Lithuania) 12:1:51–88, 2015.

Karimi, A. and M. Akbari. Basic conditions of validity of electronic contracts in Iran and UNCITRAL Model Law. *Journal of history culture and art research* (Turkey) 6:1:392–418, 2017.

Koide, A. Bunsangata Daicho no Houteki Mondai, Jyoron: blockchain wo Keiki to shite. In Kigyo ho no shinro: Egashira Kenjiro Sensei Koki Kinen [Pathway of company law: Festschrift in honour of Professor Kenjiro Egashira]. Tokyo, Yuuhikaku, 2017, p. 827–855. Translation of title: An introductory study on the legal issues of distributed ledgers: prompted by the blockchain.

Koziniec, N.V. Особенности правового регулирования трансграничной электронной торговли в *СНГ* и в рамках евразийской экономической интеграции. Translation of title: Features of legal regulation of cross-border e-commerce in the CIS and in the framework of the Eurasian economic integration.

Lederer, N. Article II (2) of the New York Convention and modern means of electronic communication: are letters and *telegrams* an archaic relic from the past? *SchiedsVZ* (München) 15:5:245–247, 2017.

Li, G. What we know and what we do not know: the legal challenges for international commercial contract formation in a *pervasive* computing environment. *International journal of private law* (Bucks, U.K.) 4:2:252–265, 2011.

Markert, L. and J. Burghardt. Navigating the digital maze: pertinent issues in e-arbitration. *Journal of arbitration studies* (Republic of Korea) 27:3:3–31, 2017.

Matić, T. Elektronički oblik arbitražnog ugovora (prema Zakonu o parničnom postupku Federacije Bosne i *Hercegovine*). *Pravna misao* (Sarajevo) 47:1–2:7–31, 2016. In Bosnian with English summary. Translation of title: Electronic form of the arbitration agreement (according to the Civil Procedure Code of the Federation of Bosnia and Herzegovina).

Michalczewsky, K. and A. *Ramos*. E-regulación en América Latina. *Inter-American Development Bank post* 8 March 2017.

Møllmann. A. Delivery of goods under bills of lading. Oxon, U.K., Routledge, 2017. 230 p.

Mukherjee, A. Smart contracts: another feather in UNCITRAL's cap. *Cornell international law journal online* 8 *February* 2018.

Öcal, A. "Elektronik Ticaret Hakkında Uncitral Model Kanunu" ve "Elektronik Imzalar Hakkında Uncitral *Model* Kanunu" üzerine değerlendirme. *Terazi hukuk dergisi* (Turkey) 12:129:96–114, 2017. Translation of title: UNCITRAL Model Law on Electronic Commerce and assessment of UNCITRAL Model Law on Electronic Signatures.

Popovici, S. Titlurile de valoare în formă electronică. *Romanian review of private law* (Bucureşti) 3:272–284, 2017. In *Romanian* with English and French abstract. Translation of title: Electronic transferable records.

Rothchild, J.A., ed. Research *handbook* on electronic commerce law. Cheltenham, U.K., Edward Elgar, 2016. 618 p.

Schwenzer, I.H. and L. Spagnolo, eds. The electronic CISG: 7th Annual MAA Schlechtriem CISG Conference, 26 March 2015, Vienna. Conference in honour of Peter Schlechtriem 1933–2007. The Hague, Eleven International Publishing, 2017. 136 p.

Simamora, Y.S. Catatan singkat tentang Konvensi PBB tentang penggunaan komunikasi elektronik dalam kontrak inernasional. *Yuridika* (Indonesia) 23:3:1–20, 2012. In Indonesian with English *abstract*. Translation of title: Brief note about United Nations Convention on Electronic Contracting (2005).

UN Commission on International Trade Law, ed. UNCITRAL Model Law on Electronic Transferable Records. New York, United Nations, 2017. 54 p. United Nations publication, sales no. E.17.V.5.

Wakefield, J. and C.-D. Le. *Ensuring* legal certainty in international electronic contracts. *Law society journal. legal updates* (Sydney) 35:86–88, July 2017.

Wu, M. Digital trade-related provisions in regional trade agreements: existing models and lessons for the multilateral *trade* system. *RTA exchange* (Geneva) November 2017.

Xue, H. The newest UN treaty to facilitate cross-border paperless trade in Asia and the Pacific: an insight preview. *Journal of world trade* 51:6:959–985, 2017.

Zheleznov, R.V. Международная электронная торговля как феномен либерализации рынка: проблемы правового регулирования. *Вестник экономики, права и социологии* (Kazan) 1:127–132, 2016. Translation of title: International e-commerce as a phenomenon of market liberalization: legal issues.

## VII. Security interests (including receivables financing)

Bazinas, S.V. Key aspects of intellectual property financing in the work of UNCITRAL. In The *grand* project: reform of the European Insolvency

Regulation. S. Bariatti, P.J. Omar (eds.), Clifton, U.K., INSOL Europe, 2014, p.35–46.

_____. Security interests in intellectual property under the UNCITRAL Model Law on Secured Transactions. In Secured lending in intellectual property. K. Hatzikiriakos, 2nd ed., Toronto, LexisNexis Canada, 2017, Ch. V, p. 427–446.

_____. The UNCITRAL Model Law on Secured Transactions. In International and comparative secured transactions law: *essays* in honour of Roderick A. Macdonald. S.V. Bazinas, N.O. Akseli (eds.), Portland, Or., Hart Publishing, 2017, Ch. 4, p. 55–76.

_____. UNCITRAL's contribution to intellectual property financing law. In Security interests in *intellectual* property. T. Kono (ed.), Singapore, Springer, 2017, p. 103–118.

Bazinas, S.V. and N.O. Akseli, eds. International and comparative secured transactions law: essays in honour of Roderick A. Macdonald. Portland, Or., Hart Publishing, 2017. 338 p.

Brink, U. Model Law on Secured Transactions: approved by UNCITRAL Commission. *In-sight: FCI newsletter* (Amsterdam) 31–33, August 2016.

Dalhuisen, J. Dalhuisen on transnational comparative, commercial, financial and trade law, Volume 2: *contract* and movable property law. 6th ed. Portland, Or., Hart Publishing, 2016. 756 p.

_____. Dalhuisen on transnational comparative, commercial, financial and trade law, Volume 3: financial products, financial services and financial regulations. 6th ed. Portland, Or., Hart Publishing, 2016. 872 p.

Denoncourt, J. IP debt finance and SMEs: revealing the evolving conceptual framework drawing on initiatives *from* around the world. In Security interests in intellectual property. T. Kono (ed.), Singapore, Springer, 2017, p. 1–38.

Dubovec, M. and A. Elias. A *proposal* for UNCITRAL to develop a model law on warehouse receipts. *Social science research network* June 28, 2017.

Focus: UNCITRAL - Fourth International Colloquium on Secured Transactions (Vienna, 15–17 March 2017). *Uniform law review = Revue de droit uniforme* (Oxford, U.K.) 22:4:640–929, 2017. Special journal issue.

Iheme, W.C. Towards reforming *Nigeria's* secured transactions law: the Central Bank of Nigeria's attempt through the back door. *Journal of African law* (Cambridge, U.K.) 61:1:131–153, 2017.

Keijser, T. Financial collateral arrangements in the European Union: current state and the way forward. *Uniform law review = Revue de droit uniforme* (Oxford, U.K.) 22:1;258–300, 2017.

Koens, K. and C.W. Mooney. Security interests in book-entry securities in Japan: should Japanese law embrace *perfection* by control agreement and security interests in securities accounts? *University of Pennsylvania journal of international law* (Philadelphia, Pa.) 38:3:761–829, 2017.

Kohn, R.M. and D.W. Morse. UNCITRAL: the UNCITRAL Model Law on Secured Transactions. *Secured lender* (New York) 48–52, November 2016.

Kono, T. and C.W.-C. Cheng. IP and debt finance: cross-border considerations. In Security interests in *intellectual* property. T. Kono (ed.), Singapore, Springer, 2017, p. 51–102.

Matanovac Vuckovic, R. and M. Trkanjec. Notifikacija kao element cesije tražbine. *Zagreb law review* (Zagreb) 5:2:*165*–183, 2016. In Croatian with English abstract. Translation of title: Notification as an element of the assignment of receivables.

Mooney, C.W. Choice-of-law rules for secured transactions: an interest-based and modern principles-based framework for assessment. *Penn law: legal scholarship repository* (Philadelphia, Pa.) 11 July 2017.

Oudu-Olubor, I. A critical appraisal of secured transactions over personal property in Nigeria: legal problems and a *proposal* for reform. Nottingham, U.K., Nottingham Trent University, 2017. 241 p. Thesis (PhD).

Silva, F.R.P. e. Garantias das obrigações: uma análise sistemática do direito das garantias e uma proposta abrangente *para* a sua reforma. São Paulo, Editora ASP, 2017. 972 p. Translation of title: Guarantees of obligations: a systematic review of the law of guarantees and a comprehensive proposal for its reform.

## VIII. Procurement

Đurić, J. and M. Mrkšić. O model zakonima UNCITRAL-a s posebnim osvrtom na model zakone u oblasti javnih *nabavki* i infrastrukturnog razvoja. *Zbornik radova pravnog fakulteta* (Novi Sad, Serbia) 47:3:559–574, 2013. Translation of title: On the model laws of UNCITRAL with special reference to model laws in the area of public procurement and infrastructure development.

Ge, J. 公共采购领域如何简政放权 放管结合. *China tendering* (China) 31:22–26, 2015. Translation of title: How to streamline the administration, strengthen regulation and improve the service in public procurement field.

_____. 关于"投标人不足3个导致招标失败"的思考. *Tendering and bidding* (China) 10:28–30, 2015. Translation of title: *The* study of the circumstance where "the bidders is short of 3."

_____. 我国两阶段招标任重道远. *China tendering* (China) 22:4–7, 2015. Translation of title: There is a lot to do to enhance the procedures of the two-phase tendering in China.

_____. 我国单一来源采购方式的保障措施. *China tendering* (China) 25:14–16, 2015. Translation of title: The safeguard measures of single-source procurement.

_____. 浅谈保持招标投标活动的采购记录的法律规定——以《贸易法委员会公共采购示范法》的规定为参考. *Tendering and bidding* (China) 12:24–26, 2015. Translation of title: Discussion of the *rules* on preserving the procurement records - bring the UNCITRAL Model Law on Public Procurement (2011).

_____. 电子逆向拍卖法律规则的探讨. *China tendering* (China) 39:11–14, 2015. Translation of title: The study of *electrical* reverse auction.

_____. 竞争性谈判如何更好地与国际接轨. *China tendering* (China) 18:4–8, 2015. Translation of title: How to bring the competitive negotiation in China more in line with the international practice.

_____. 《贸易法委员会公共采购示范法》对我国协议供货的借鉴之处. *China tendering* (China) 34:14–18, 2015. *Translation* of title: The referential experience of UNCITRAL Model Law on Public Procurement (2011) for framework agreement procurement in China.

_____. 跨太平洋伙伴关系协定(tpp)中的采购方式及比较. *Tendering procurement administration* (China) 12:62–65, 2015. Translation of title: Study and comparison of the procurement *methods* of TPP.

Marcou, G., ed. Le contrôle des marchés publics. Paris, IRJS Editions, 2009. 439 p. Proceedings of Colloquium at Sorbonne, 22–23 October 2007, organized by the Institut de Recherche Juridique de la Sorbonne.

Martin-Ortega, O. and C. Methven O'Brien. Advancing respect for labour rights globally through public *procurement*. *Politics and governance* (Lisbon) 5:4:69–79, 2017.

Motazedi, R. The long-*awaited* Cuban reunion: public procurement's role in U.S.-Cuba relations. *Public contract law journal* (Chicago, Ill.) 46:1:135–165, 2016.

Organization for Security and Co-operation in Europe (OSCE). Office of the Special Representative and Co-ordinator for Combating Trafficking in Human Beings, ed. Model guidelines on *government* measures to prevent trafficking for labour exploitation in supply chains. Vienna, OSCE, 2018. 72 p.

Salianji, E. Public procurement in Albania, Germany, Macedonia and Kosovo. *European journal of multidisciplinary studies* 4:3:37–42, 2017.

The internationalization of government procurement regulation. Oxford, U.K., Oxford University Press, *2017*. 627 p.

## IX. Insolvency

Abd al-Hadi, Z. Applicable bankruptcy law to foreigners in the Gulf Cooperation Council (GCC) countries *within* the framework of regional agreements and the law of cross-border insolvency. *International corporate rescue* (Hertfordshire, U.K.) 14:6:405–407, 2017.

Alba Fernández, M. Harmony and harmonization in cross-border insolvency and security interests on vessels. In *New* trends in maritime law: maritime liens, arrest of ships, mortgages and forced sale. O. Fotinopoulou Basurko, J.M. Martin Osante, eds. Cizur Menor, Spain, Editorial Aranzadi, 2017. Ch. 27, p.545–564.

Arab Center for the Rule of Law and Integrity, ed. Modernizing the bankruptcy system: Egypt and Jordan. Beirut, Arab Center for the Rule of Law and Integrity, 2014. 254 p.

Block-Lieb, S. The UK and EU cross-border insolvency recognition: from Empire to Europe to "going it *alone*." *Fordham international law journal* (New York) 40:5:1373–1412, 2017.

Bork, R. The European Insolvency Regulation and the UNCITRAL Model Law on Cross-Border Insolvency. *International insolvency review* (Chichester, U.K.) 26:3:246–269, 2017.

Bosker, T. Insolvency reform in developing countries: corporate rescue to the rescue? *International corporate rescue* (*Hertfordshire*, U.K.) 14:3:186–192, 2017.

Calitz, J. and G.J. Freebody. Is post-commencement finance proving to be the thorn in the side of business rescue *proceedings* under the 2008 Companies Act? *De jure* (Pretoria) 49:265–287, 2016.

Carballo Pinêiro, L. "Brexit" and international insolvency beyond the realm of mutual trust. *International insolvency review* (Chichester, U.K.) 26:3:270–294, 2017.

Chan Chee Yin, A. and A. Yeo. Changes to Singapore's insolvency legislation: Singapore's bid to be an *international* centre for debt restructuring. *INSOL world* (London) first quarter 2017, pp. 10–12.

Chan Ho, L., ed. Cross-border insolvency: a commentary on the UNCITRAL Model Law. 4th ed. London, Globe *Law* and Business, 2017. 2 vols.

_____, ed. Cross-border insolvency: a commentary on the UNCITRAL Model Law. 3rd ed. London, Globe Law and Business, 2012. 655 p.

Chun, Y.H. Cross-border insolvencies: to "universalize" or to arbitrate? *Pepperdine dispute resolution law journal* (Malibu, Calif.) 17:65–90, 2017.

Clavora, S. and others, eds. Insolvenz- und Sanierungsrecht: Jahrbuch 2016. Wien, NWV Verlag GmbH, 2016. 343 p. Translation of title: Insolvency and reorganization law: yearbook 2016.

Colarossi, M.J. An uncertain future: the questionable extraterritoriality of the Bankruptcy Code's core pre-petition avoidance provisions. *American Bankruptcy Institute law review* (Alexandria, Va.) 25:229–273, 2017.

Comparative and cross-border issues in bankruptcy and insolvency law: 2017 symposium livestream. Chicago-*Kent* Law Review Live Symposium held 29–30 November 2017.

Demori, P. A aplicação da lei modelo da UNCITRAL sobre insolvência transnacional e o atual panorama brasileiro. *Jus com br* (Brazil) September 2017. Translation of title: The application of the *UNCITRAL* Model Law on Cross-Border Insolvency (1997) and the current status in Brazil.

European Law Institute, ed. *Instrument* of the European Law Institute: rescue of business in insolvency law. Vienna, European Law Institute, 2017. 400 p.

Fabók, Z. International insolvency law in the new Hungarian PIL Code: a window of opportunity to enact the UNCITRAL Model Law on Cross-border Insolvency? *Social science research network December* 29, 2016.

Garašić, J. Najznačajne novine Stečajnog zakona iz 2015: godine. *Zbornik pravnog fakulteta sveučilišta u Rijeci* (Rijeka, Croatia) 38:1:131–186, 2017. Translation of title: Most important novelties in *Bankruptcy* Act of 2015.

Godwin, A. and others. The inherent power of common law courts to provide assistance in cross-border *insolvencies*: from comity to complexity. *International insolvency review* (Chichester, U.K.) 26:1:5–39, 2017.

Hajjiri, T.M. and A. Cohen, eds. Global insolvency and bankruptcy practice for sustainable economic development. *Basingstoke*, U.K., Palgrave Macmillan, 2016. 2 vols.

Hannan, N. Cross-border insolvency: the enactment and interpretation of the UNCITRAL Model Law. Singapore, *Springer*, 2017. 270 p.

Hume, R. [et al.]. Beating the odds: overcoming jurisdictional hurdles in avoidance claims against directors and officers of insolvent companies. *INSOL world* (London) second quarter 2017, pp. 38–39.

Insol International, ed. Statement of principles for a global approach to multi-creditor workouts II. 2nd ed. London, INSOL *International*, 2017. 40 p.

Kayondo, S. An appraisal of the UNCITRAL Model Law on Cross-border Insolvency under Uganda's new insolvency regime. *INSOL world* (London) third quarter 2017, pp. 14–15.

Mailly, M. Applying the Regulation (EU) 2015/848 on insolvency proceedings. *Eurofenix* (Nottingham, U.K.). Part 1: 68:*44*–45; part 2: 69:44–45; part 3: 70:44–45, 2017.

Martin, R.C. Court adopt the judicial insolvency network's cross-border guidelines. *INSOL world* (London) third *quarter* 2017, pp. 19–21.

McCormack, G. and H. Anderson. Brexit and its implication for restructuring and corporate insolvency in the UK. *Journal of business law* (London) 7:533–556, 2017.

Menon, N. Insolvency of intermediaries in cross-border securities holding systems. *Uniform law review = Revue de droit uniforme* (Oxford, U.K.) 22:2:492–505, 2017.

Nisi, N. The recast of the Insolvency Regulation: a third country perspective. *Journal of private international law* (Abingdon, U.K.) 13:2:324–355 2017.

O'Flynn, K. and F. Innes. To aid, or not to aid: that's not the question; in the case of Legend, no aid was good aid. *International corporate rescue* (London) 14:2:125–129, 2017.

Parry, R., ed. Substantive harmonisation and convergence of laws in Europe. Nottingham, U.K., INSOL Europe, 2012. 231 p. Papers from the INSOL Europe Academic Forum Annual Insolvency *Conference* 21–22 September 2011, Molino Stucky Hotel, Venice, Italy.

Parry, R. and P.J. Omar, eds. International insolvency law: future perspectives. Nottingham, U.K., INSOL Europe, 2015. 220 p. The Edwin Coe lectures delivered at the INSOL Europe Academic Forum Annual Conferences 2008–2014.

Rosenblatt, A. and F. Vazquez. The risk of competing insolvency proceedings highlights the need for Latin American countries to adopt the UNCITRAL Model Law on Cross-Border Insolvency. *INSOL world* (London) fourth quarter 2017, pp. 14–16.

Saha, H. and S. Rahman. A comparative analysis of cross-border insolvency proceedings between United Kingdom and Singapore. *Bocconi legal papers* (Milan) 8:131–147, 2016.

Salahuddin, A. United Kingdom's approach to cross-border insolvency: a comparative and critical analysis. *International corporate rescue* (Hertfordshire, U.K.) 14:6:434–440, 2017.

Sim, K.K. and M.H. Chan. The new insolvency landscape in Singapore. *International corporate rescue* (Hertfordshire, U.K.) 15:1:4–7, 2018.

Tettenborn, A. International insolvency law: its impact on the arrest of ships. *Journal of international maritime law* (Witney, U.K.) 23:4:266–279, 2017.

_____. International insolvency law: its impact on the arrest of ships. In New trends in maritime law: maritime liens, arrest of ships, mortgages and forced sale. O. Fotinopoulou Basurko, J.M. Martin *Osante*, eds. Cizur Menor, Spain, Editorial Aranzadi, 2017. Ch. 6, p. 101–123.

## X. International construction contracts

[No publications recorded under this heading.]

## XI. International countertrade

[No publications recorded under this heading.]

## XII. Privately financed infrastructure projects

De Cazalet, B. Les bénéfices escomptés du modèle de loi PPP de la CEI = the expected benefit of CIS PPP Model Law. *Revue de droit des affaires internationales = International business law journal* (Paris) 2:97–114, 2017.

Dewast, P. La concession de service public: renaissance et mondialisation, un instrument au service du développement? In Florilèges du droit public: recueil de mélanges en l'honneur de Jean-*Pierre* Boivin. Institut de droit public des affaires. Paris, Éditions La mémoire du Droit, 2012. p. 313–340.

Marcou, G., ed. Le contrôle des marchés publics. Paris, IRJS Editions, 2009. 439 p. Proceedings of Colloquium at *Sorbonne*, 22–23 October 2007, organized by the Institut de Recherche Juridique de la Sorbonne.

## XIII. Online dispute resolution

Amro, I. The international legal framework regulating electronic commerce and online mediation. *Slovenska arbitražna praksa* (Ljubljana) 6:2:19–33, 2017.

Heetkamp, S.J. Online dispute resolution bei grenzüberschreitenden Verbraucherverträgen: europäisches und globales Regelungsmodell im Vergleich. Göttingen, Germany, V & R unipress GmbH, 2018. 500 p. Translation of title: Online dispute resolution in cross-border consumer contracts: European and global regulatory model in comparison.

Ng, I. and V. Benedetti del Rio. The use of online dispute resolution in the realm of investment arbitration in the European Union. *European investment law and arbitration review online* 1:1:133–154, 2016.

Rothchild, J.A., ed. Research handbook on electronic commerce law. Cheltenham, U.K., Edward Elgar, 2016. *618* p.

## XIV. Micro, small and medium-sized enterprises

Basu Bal, A. and others. International single window environment: prospects and challenges. Tokyo, Asian Development *Bank* Institute, 2017. 28 p.

Country focus: *Republic* of India. *World SME news* (Uttar Pradesh, India) 117:15–22, 2017.

EU Federation for Factoring and Commercial Finance (EUF), ed. Factoring and commercial finance: *an* introduction. Kraainem, Belgium, EUF, 2017. 13 p.

Larusson, H.K. Expedited arbitration: meeting the needs of SMEs. *Scandinavian studies in law* (*Stockholm*) 63:169–180, 2017.

## XV. Investor-State dispute settlement

Alschner, W. The impact of investment arbitration on investment treaty design: myth versus reality. *Yale journal of international law* (New Haven, Conn.) 42:1:1–66, 2017.

Bernardini, P. The European Union's *investment* court system: a critical analysis. *ASA bulletin* (Alphen aan den Rijn, The Netherlands) 35:4:812–836, 2017.

Davidson, R.B. and others. International arbitration experts discuss shifts in transparency, significant developments this year and what 2018 may bring. *Mealey's international arbitration report* (Philadelphia, Pa.) 32:11:44–50, 2017.

Engel, D. Das Investor-Staat-Streitbeilegungssystem auf dem unionsrechtlichen Prüfstand. *SchiedsVZ* (München) 15:6:291–299, 2017. Translation of title: Investor-State dispute settlement system *on* the EU legal test bench.

Fontanelli, F. [et al.] Lights and shadows of the WTO-inspired international court system of investor-state dispute *settlement*. *European investment law and arbitration review online* 1:1:191–263, 2016.

Jiménez Piernas, C. and A.M. Aronovitz, eds. New trends in international economic law: from relativism to cooperation. *Geneva*, Schulthess, 2018. 292 p.

Kalicki, J.E. and A. Joubin-Bret, eds. Reshaping the investor-State dispute settlement system: journeys for the 21st *century*. Leiden, The Netherlands, Brill, 2015. 1003 p.

Kaufmann-Kohler, G. and M. Potestà. Can the Mauritius Convention serve as a model for the reform of investor-State arbitration in connection with the introduction of a permanent investment tribunal or *an* appeal mechanism?: analysis and roadmap. Geneva, CIDS-Geneva Center for International Dispute Settlement, 2016. 115 p.

Kessedjian, C. and L. Vanhonnaeker. Les *différends* entre investisseurs et Etats hôtes par un tribunal arbitral permanent: l'exemple du CETA. *Revue trimestrielle de droit européen* (Paris) 4:633–656, 2017.

Klausegger, C., ed. Austrian *yearbook* on international arbitration 2018. 12th ed. Wien, Manz, 2018. 311 p.

Peterson, L.E. Analysis: what did *governments* agree (and disagree) on at recent UNCITRAL meetings on investor-State dispute settlement reform? *Investment arbitration reporter* (New York) 4 January 2018.

_____. UNCITRAL meetings on ISDS reform gets off to bumpy start, as delegations can't come to consensus on *who* should chair sensitive process: entailing a rare vote. *Investment arbitration reporter* (New York) 9 December 2017.

Susler, O. and T. Wilson. Restoring balance in investor state dispute settlement: addressing treaty shopping and *indirect* expropriation claims and consistent approaches to decision-making. *Arbitration* (London) 84:1:38–51, 2018.